

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

Jimmy G. Blakely,	)	
	)	C/A No. 4:05-2894-MBS
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
vs.	)	
	)	<b>O R D E R</b>
Jon Ozmint, Director; Nurse Askin;	)	
Nurse Practitioner Greeco; and	)	
P. Patel,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	
_____	)	

Plaintiff Jimmy G. Blakely contends he has been denied adequate medical care while in the custody of the South Carolina Department of Corrections. Plaintiff brings this action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The case originally was filed in state court, and was removed on October 10, 2005.

This matter is before the court on motion for summary judgment filed by Defendants on April 21, 2006. On April 24, 2006, an order was issued pursuant to Roseboro v. Garrison, 528 F.2d 309 (4th Cir. 1975), advising Plaintiff of the summary judgment procedure and the possible consequences if he failed to respond adequately. Plaintiff filed no response to the motion.

In accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) and Local Rule 73.02, D.S.C., this matter was referred to United States Magistrate Judge Thomas E. Rogers, III for pretrial handling. On June 5, 2006, the Magistrate Judge issued a Report and Recommendation in which he recommended that the complaint be dismissed pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b) for failure to prosecute. Plaintiff filed no response to the Report and Recommendation.

The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight. The responsibility for making a final determination remains with this court. Mathews v. Weber, 423 U.S. 261, 270 (1976). The court is charged with making a de novo

determination of any portions of the Report and Recommendation to which a specific objection is made. The court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation made by the Magistrate Judge or may recommit the matter to the Magistrate Judge with instructions. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). In the absence of objections to the Report, this court is not required to give any explanation for adopting the recommendation. Camby v. Davis, 718 F.2d 198, 199 (4th Cir. 1983).

The court has carefully reviewed the record and concurs in the recommendation of the Magistrate Judge. Accordingly, the within action is dismissed with prejudice pursuant to Rule 41(b).

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

/s/ Margaret B. Seymour  
United States District Judge

Columbia, South Carolina

June 30, 2006

**NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL**

**Plaintiff is hereby notified that he has the right to appeal this order pursuant to Rules 3 and 4 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure.**